UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/540,839 | 06/27/2005 | Masanori Abe | Q88793 | 8993 |
| 65565 SUGHRUE-265 | 7590 01/23/200 5 550 | | EXAMINER | |
| | LVANIA AVE. NW | | MILLER, DANIEL H | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/23/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/540,839 | ABE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | DANIEL MILLER | 1794 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/19</u> | /2008 | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7,11-19 and 22</u> is/are pending in the | annlication | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7,11-19 and 22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · | election requirement | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. Claims 3-7, 11-19, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy (US 5,529,851) in view of Hudecek et al (US 6,245,699).
- 3. Kennedy teaches composite material comprising a matrix spray coated (column 13 lines 20-45) with a zirconium silicate glass coating having a melting point of between about 1200 and 1800 degrees C (see columns 12-13). The layer can be one of multiple sprayed layers comprising silicon oxide (see columns 11-14) and claims).
- 4. The coatings of Kennedy can also comprise Calcium (column 12) for lower melting point material) and aluminum or silicon (see claim 4 reference).
- 5. Kennedy is silent ad to specific ratios of the materials.

Art Unit: 1794

6. Hudecek teaches a zirconium silicate glass (see claims 1 on) and further teaches it is known to provide a variety of group 2a, 3a, and 4a materials added to provide strength and sealing properties (see examples).

- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide group 2a, 3a, and 4a elements within the glass coating of Kennedy as is taught by Kennedy and Hudecek and to optimize the percentages of each constituent material achieving applicant's desired and claimed ratios in order to provide an optimal protective coating and with a melting point from between about 1200 and 1800 degrees C. Given the substantial overlap of the claimed range of melting point and the desired melting point range of Kennedy one of ordinary skill would expect to achieve the same range through optimization. Since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).
- 8. No patentable distinction is seen.
- 9. Regarding applicant's claimed to spherical protruded layers and zirconium and group 3a concentration; it appears from applicant's disclosure that the layers are formed inherently from the composition. Therefore, the same layering and protrusions as claimed by applicant would be expected in the disclosed invention of Kennedy in view of Hudecek.
- 10. The claimed molten layer would inherently form when the layers of Kennedy are heated (see columns 11-14).

Art Unit: 1794

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to all pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1794

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DANIEL MILLER whose telephone number is (571)272-1534.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on (571)272-1401. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel Miller

/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794